

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.  |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/083,079   | 02/26/2002      | Dominik Schutz       | TRW(ASG)6052            | TRW(ASG)6052 4699 |  |
| 26294  | 7590 03/24/2004 |                      | EXAMINER                |                   |  |
| TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P. 526 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SUITE 1111 |                 |                      | LUONG, VINH             |                   |  |
|  | ND, OH 44114    | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                   |  |
|  |                 |                      | 3682                    |                   |  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004 | 1                 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | $\langle$ | _<br>> | 1 | ′ |
|---|-----------|--------|---|---|
| _ |           |        |   |   |

| _   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 10/083,079   | SCHUTZ, DOMINIK   |  |  |  |  |
| Advisory Notion   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Vinh T Luong   | 3682  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add  | ress                                     |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date of   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The day  | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH                     | f the final rejection.<br>E FINAL REJECTION. S            | See MPEP                                 |  |  |  |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | sion and the corresponding amount of the<br>d statutory period for reply originally set in | e fee. The appropriate ext<br>the final Office action; or | tension fee under<br>(2) as set forth in |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF  | s Brief must be filed within the pR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal                          | period set forth in of the appeal.                        |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furth   | er consideration and/or search   | (see NOTE below);   |  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note  | below);  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (d) M they present additional claims without cance  | ling a corresponding number of   | finally rejected clair                                    | ms.                                      |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  | ction(s):  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | I be allowable if submitted in a s   | separate, timely file                                     | d amendment                              |  |  |  |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:  |  | sidered but does No                                       | OT place the                             |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | cause it is not directed SOLELY  | to issues which we  | ere newly                                |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w   |  |   | and an                                   |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: 12.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-11.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8.⊠ The drawing correction filed on <u>08 March 2004</u> is a)⊠ approved or b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Vinh T. Luong<br>Primary Examiner                         |  |  |  |  |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/083,079

Continuation of 2. NOTE: New issues: the amended claim 1 raises new issues, such as, a new ground of rejection of claim 2 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, due to double inclusion of the term "a gas bag module." See MPEP 2173.05(o). In addition, the new limitations, such as, a housing, a gas bag, an electrical contact, and a corresponding electrical contact in claim 1, and new claims 13 and 14 raise new issues.

Vinh T. Luong Primary Examiner